

# Attachment A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case No.  
 ) 4:15-CR-6049-EFS-2, 4, 10,  
Plaintiff, ) 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23  
 )  
v. ) May 29, 2018  
 )  
JESE DAVID CARILLO ) Richland, Washington  
CASILLAS (2), ALEXIS JOEL )  
GARCIA PALOMINO (4), BRITTNEY ) Pretrial Conference/Motion  
LEE ZARAGOZA (10), EDGAR OMAR ) Hearing  
HERRERA FARIAS (16), ALFREDO )  
MAGANA GARIBAY (18), JUAN BRAVO )  
ZAMBRANO (19), MARCIAL BRAVO ) Pages 1 to 75  
ZAMBRANO (20), MIGUEL REYES )  
GARCIA (21), JOSE ADRIAN )  
MENDOZA (23), )  
 )  
Defendants. )

BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD F. SHEA  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Stephanie A. Van Marter  
Stephanie.Van Marter@usdoj.gov  
Caitlin Baunsgard  
US Attorney's Office-SPO  
920 W Riverside, Suite 300  
P.O. Box 1494  
Spokane, WA 99210  
509-353-2767

For the Defendant Carillo Nicolas V. Vieth  
Casillas (2): Nick@viethlaw.com  
Vieth Law Offices  
505 West Riverside  
Suite 200  
Spokane, WA 99201  
208-664-9448

1 For the Defendant Alexis  
2 Joel Garcia Palomino (4):

Jeffrey Scott Niesen  
Jsniesen1@yahoo.com  
Jeffrey S. Niesen Law Office  
1411 W. Pinehill Road  
Spokane, WA 99218  
509-822-7140

5 For the Defendant Zaragoza  
6 (10):

Victor H. Lara  
Vh\_lara@hotmail.com  
Hurley Lara & Hehir  
411 North Second Street  
Yakima, WA 98901  
509-248-4282

9 For the Defendant Herrera  
10 Farias (16):

Peter Steven Schweda  
Pschweda@wsmattorneys.com  
Waldo & Schweda, PS  
2206 N Pines Road  
Spokane, WA 99206  
509-924-3686

13 For the Defendant Magana  
14 Garibay (18):

Nicholas Wright Marchi  
Nmarchi@carmarlaw.com  
Carney & Marchi, PS  
7502 W Deschutes Place  
Kennewick, WA 99336  
509-545-1055

17 For the Defendant Bravo  
18 Zambrano (19):

Richard A. Smith  
Rasmith@house314.com  
Smith Law Firm  
314 North Second Street  
Yakima, WA 98901  
509-457-5108

21 For the Defendant Marcial  
22 Bravo Zambrano (20)-  
23 DEFENDANT NOT PRESENT:

Walter Lynn Ayers  
Walter@ayerslawfirm.net  
Zachary Lynn Ayers  
Zach@ayerslawfirm.net  
1312 N. Monroe Street, Ste. 133  
Spokane, WA 99201  
509-252-6005

1 For the Defendant Reyes  
2 Garcia (21):

Kenneth D. Therrien  
Kentherrien@msn.com  
Kenneth Therrien Law Office  
413 North Second Street  
Yakima, WA 98901  
509-457-5991

5 For the Defendant Adrian  
6 Mendoza (23):

Scott W. Johnson  
Scott@johnsonorr.com  
Johnson & Orr PS  
1038 Jadwin Avenue  
Richland, WA 99352  
509-579-0080

8 Court-Certified Interpreters: Carolina Hickey, Cristina  
9 Perez-Lopez

10 Official Court Reporter:

Kimberly J. Allen, CCR #2758  
United States District Courthouse  
P.O. Box 685  
Richland, Washington 99352  
(509) 943-8175

13 Proceedings reported by mechanical stenography; transcript  
14 produced by computer-aided transcription.

INDEXProceedings:Page

Colloquy Re: ECF 617	15
Colloquy Re: ECF 757	29
Colloquy Re: ECF 619	34
Colloquy Re: ECF 623	39

WITNESS INDEXPlaintiff Witness:Page

None

\*\*\*\*\*

Defense Witnesses:Page

None

EXHIBITS ADMITTEDPlaintiff  
NumberDescriptionPage

None

Defense  
NumberDescriptionPage

None

GENERAL INDEX

Page

Reporter's Certificate.....

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 (May 29, 2018; 9:18 a.m.)

2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.

3 (Call to Order of the Court.)

4 THE COURT: Good morning to you all. Please be seated.

09:18:34 5 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Matter before the Court is United  
6 States of America v. Jese David Carillo Casillas, et al., Cause  
7 No. 4:15-CR-6049-EFS, Defendant Nos. 2, 4, 10, 16, 18, 19, 21,  
8 and 23. And the attorneys for Defendant No. 20, Marcial Bravo  
9 Zambrano, are also present, but the defendant is not present.

09:19:04 10 Counsel, please state your presence for the record.  
11 We'll start with the Government first, and then we'll move to  
12 the top row in the box and then over to counsel at counsel  
13 table.

14 MS. VAN MARTER: Good morning, Your Honor. Stephanie  
09:19:14 15 Van Marter and Caitlin Baunsgard, along with case agent Joe  
16 Brazeau, present for the United States.

17 THE COURT: Thank you.

18 MR. SCHWEDA: Your Honor, Pete Schweda with Mr. Herrera  
19 Farias.

09:19:25 20 MR. NIESEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeffrey Niesen  
21 with Mr. Palomino.

22 MR. VIETH: Good morning, Judge. Nick Vieth for  
23 Mr. Casillas.

24 MR. MARCHI: Good morning, Your Honor. Nicholas Marchi  
09:19:36 25 on behalf of Mr. Garibay.

1 MR. THERRIEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Ken Therrien  
2 for Miguel Reyes Garcia.

3 MR. SMITH: Rick Smith on behalf of Juan Zambrano, Your  
4 Honor.

09:19:45 5 MR. JOHNSON: Scott Johnson on behalf of Jose Mendoza.

6 MR. LARA: Victor Lara for Brittany Zaragoza.

7 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Zachary Ayers and Walter Ayers on  
8 behalf of Mr. Zambrano.

09:20:00 9 THE COURT: Mr. Zambrano was arraigned sometime on  
10 Friday --

11 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Correct.

12 THE COURT: -- and an order to that effect was entered  
13 at about 4:45 on Friday, and as a result of that entry at that  
14 time, on this weekend there was a lack of communication about  
09:20:12 15 providing transportation for him to be here today.

16 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: I believe so.

17 THE COURT: And as a result, he's not here in court.  
18 But I invite you to make any motions or request any hearings you  
19 want on anything that goes on, including your case.

09:20:27 20 Okay?

21 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Understood, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: We can bring him back -- so nothing that  
23 occurs today will prejudice him. We will take up the issue  
24 right now of trial dates in your client's case and in the case  
09:20:40 25 that we heard this morning, Mr. Palomino.



1 Okay. Have a seat. Thanks.

2 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Ms. Van Marter, let's talk about that right  
4 at the outset.

09:20:53 5 And consistent with that, one of the attorneys is  
6 telling me there's rolling discovery, a pejorative term in my  
7 court, especially when the indictment is December 16th of 2015,  
8 with a second superseding indictment 12-6 of '16.

9 Please tell me that that's not so; that that is  
09:21:16 10 inaccurate; that there is no rolling discovery.

11 MS. VAN MARTER: There is no rolling discovery, Your  
12 Honor. What was last disclosed to counsel of -- new counsel of  
13 record is the majority of the discovery. That's the most recent  
14 disclosure. So that's for the Ayerses -- obviously they didn't  
09:21:30 15 have it previously -- as well as Mr. Niesen on behalf of  
16 Mr. Palomino.

17 THE COURT: So that's discovery already provided --

18 MS. VAN MARTER: Yes.

19 THE COURT: -- to all the other counsel?

09:21:40 20 MS. VAN MARTER: Correct.

21 THE COURT: So it should be in their files.

22 MS. VAN MARTER: Correct.

23 THE COURT: Did anybody call you and tell you that there  
24 was new discovery?

09:21:46 25 MS. VAN MARTER: No.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MS. VAN MARTER: Nobody contacted the United States to  
3 that effect at all.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

09:21:51 5 MS. VAN MARTER: As to new counsel, we've had  
6 conversations about the discovery that they've received. I've  
7 only had one conversation with Mr. Vieth about any questions  
8 that he may have or any additional information that he may like,  
9 and I believe we've worked that through.

09:22:06 10 The only other discovery that was produced was pursuant  
11 to the hearing that this Court was already -- we already  
12 presided over; that had to do with Mr. Schweda's requests, and  
13 we already went over that issue. And the only outstanding thing  
14 is the CI disclosures that I have a court date -- or a date set  
09:22:26 15 by this Court in August for those disclosures, which we've  
16 already discussed in front of this Court a number of times.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Niesen, I don't know  
18 what -- Mr. -- the Ayerses, what is your position on going to  
19 trial in October, given your client's charges? What charge is  
09:22:40 20 your client charged with? You can speak from there.

21 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Your Honor, he is charged with a  
22 conspiracy in Count 1.

23 THE COURT: So Count 1.

24 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: We would agree to the continuance  
09:22:51 25 that the other counsel is moving for as well today.

1 THE COURT: This is not a continuance.

2 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Or I mean --

3 THE COURT: This is a separate trial date. Nobody is  
4 getting a continuance at this point.

09:23:00 5 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Okay.

6 THE COURT: You can have a -- because the amended case  
7 management order in Palomino set his trial for October. There's  
8 been no amended case management order dealing with your client.  
9 So as I put in a footnote, flagging it to the people who  
10 received all of that, all of the counsel, anybody arrested  
11 recently is going to trial in March of 2019.

12 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Understood, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: That was the date we were  
09:23:25 15 anticipating.

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

17 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Thank you.

18 THE COURT: But if something arises -- let me know if  
19 your client has different views.

09:23:32 20 Have you been able to talk with your client yet?

21 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Only since last Friday, Your Honor.  
22 We hadn't had a chance to talk with him about the dates yet.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then if that develops, set a  
24 hearing, and we'll deal with the issues --

09:23:43 25 MR. ZACHARY AYERS: Okay.

1 THE COURT: -- at your convenience.

2 Okay. So that takes care of those two individuals.

3 They'll go to trial in March of next year, which gives them more  
4 than adequate -- gives them adequate time to prepare, given the  
09:23:57 5 amount of time every other attorney has had.

6 Mr. Vieth, you suggested that there was a need for more  
7 Behind the Gavel analysis, and I'm perplexed because I don't get  
8 why that is so.

9 MR. VIETH: Your Honor, do you want me to go to --

09:24:14 10 THE COURT: No, you're fine.

11 MR. VIETH: All right. In speaking with Behind the  
12 Gavel -- and I did put a call in, I believe it was on Thursday  
13 or Friday, my office, to Behind the Gavel to have them available  
14 by phone if the Court has any questions. But the need, as I  
09:24:34 15 understand it, was to continue to give counsel, not only myself  
16 but everybody else, because I am now the lead attorney on  
17 getting all of their budgets in order, making sure that they  
18 have the proper funds, to give not only my office the ability to  
19 call them, pinpoint potential discovery that is valuable to  
09:24:59 20 me --

21 THE COURT: Sorry, Counsel. You're going to have to  
22 repeat that again. Why is there additional work needed from  
23 Behind the Gavel? I think I approved something over \$40,000 for  
24 that.

09:25:09 25 MR. VIETH: I believe so, Judge.

1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I'm sorry, Judge. The  
2 interpreter needs the microphone -- can you speak into the  
3 microphone, please, so she can pick it up in the headset?

4 THE COURT: Okay.

09:25:20 5 MR. VIETH: Oh. Sorry about that.

6 Do I need to start over, Ms. Interpreter?

7 Okay. And so, Your Honor, as I understand it and the  
8 request from Behind the Gavel was to continue to provide the  
9 same expertise that they have provided, to other counsel,  
09:25:38 10 previous counsel to the more recent appointed counsels.

11 THE COURT: Define most -- "more recent appointed  
12 counsel."

13 MR. VIETH: Well, Mr. Ayers, I believe, was just  
14 appointed. I believe there was one or two --

09:25:51 15 THE COURT: Mr. Niesen.

16 MR. VIETH: Mr. Jeff Niesen as well.

17 Sorry, Jeff.

18 And so it's my understanding that it's their request  
19 that they be able to provide the same assistance that they have  
09:26:03 20 given my office and other offices in the past with their same  
21 expertise in pinpointing certain discovery and helping those  
22 members of the defense with the same opportunities that we've  
23 received.

24 THE COURT: Well, why would that motion come through you  
09:26:23 25 rather than through Mr. Niesen or Mr. Ayers?

1 MR. VIETH: It's my understanding, Your Honor, that when  
2 I received the case, that the lead counsel that ended up having  
3 to withdraw and I took over, I was the point for the Ninth  
4 Circuit budgeting coordinator Blair Pearlman, and then it was my  
09:26:46 5 responsibility to put together the budget for Behind the Gavel.

6 THE COURT: Okay. That makes more sense to me. Thanks  
7 for that clarification.

8 MR. VIETH: Thank you, Judge.

9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's talk about what  
09:27:00 10 motions we have.

11 What are we doing today?

12 For the record, the same rulings I've made in the past  
13 regarding shackling remain in place, given the number of  
14 defendants, the stakes, and the public interest in safety for  
09:27:27 15 the defendants, counsel, and the courtroom staff; six in custody  
16 defendants will be permitted to be shackled by the United States  
17 for this hearing.

18 Okay. What's next?

19 MS. VAN MARTER: Are you asking me? I'm sorry, Your  
09:27:44 20 Honor. I'm aware of only one pending motion thus far before the  
21 Court, and that is on behalf of Mr. Herrera Farias in regard to  
22 his motion in limine to exclude reference to his prior 2012 drug  
23 conviction that was --

24 THE COURT: Okay. Let's argue that. Stay at the  
09:28:02 25 podium.